Second Bridge over Yamuna at the I. T. O/ in Delhi

*94. SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR:
SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE:

Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1671 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 22nd August, 1990 and state:

(a) by when the construction of the second bridge over Yamuna near I. T. O. in Delhi likely to start and when it is targeted to be completed; and

(b) what is the estimated expenditure involved therein ?

THE MINISTER OF WATER RE SOURCES WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI MA NUBHAI KOTADIA): (a) It is too early *to* indicate the dates of start and comple tion of second bridge as approval of the Delhi Development Authority and the Delhi Urban Arts Commission is being awaited by the Delhi Administration, who are responsible for the project,

(b) The project which is likely to cost about Rs. 19. 00 crores is to be financed out of Plan Funds earmarked for Delhi Administration.

Prates of Pakistan's interference in the Internal matters of India

♦95. SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU: SHRI GHUFRAN AZAM:

Will the Minister of EXIERNAL AF-FAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Pakistan have been continuously interfering in the internal affairs of our country and thus violating the Shimla pact;

(b) whether the Foreign Secretary of Pakistan has recently asked New Delhi to take effective measures to stem the wave of latest communal killings in India and also to protect the lives and properties of Indian Muslims; and

(c) if so, whether Government havo since lodged any protest with the Pakistan Government in this regard and if so> the details thereof ?

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA): (a) Yes, Sir. Pakistan has, in violation of the Shimla Agreement, been interfering in our internal affairs, in par-ticular, through its suppo. t to terrorism and subversion in the Indian States of Jammu and Kashmir and Punjab and through self-serving pronouncements /on the communal situation in India.

(b) and (c). The Pakistan Government has been clearly told that sch an approach on their part amounts to interference in our internal affairs and hence the violation of the Shimla Agreement. This is also not in keeping wtih our common objective of noi-malising the relations between the two 6 wntries and establishing peace and stability in the region.

Kashmir issue in the U. N. General Assembly Meetings

*96. SHRI MAHENDRA PRASAD; SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRA. KANT BHANDARE:

Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether at the UN General assembly meetings held at the end of September, 1990, the Pakistan Foreign Minister had alleged repression in Kashmir and criticised India on that count;

(b) if so what were the precise accusations of Pakistan and what was India's reply thereto; and

(c) what was the impact of India's stand on Kashmir on the U. N. General Assembly meeting in general and the big powers in particular?